

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
12/16/1999	LOU W. WATKINS	4642	8340	
90 09/11/2002				
SAMUELS GAUTHIER & STEVENS LLP			EXAMINER	
225 FRANKLIN STREET SUITE 3300			BAREFORD, KATHERINE A	
02110				
02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1762	17	
		DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002	\mathcal{L}	
	12/16/1999 90 09/11/2002 AUTHIER & STEVEN	12/16/1999 LOU W. WATKINS 90 09/11/2002 AUTHIER & STEVENS LLP N STREET	12/16/1999 LOU W. WATKINS 4642 400 09/11/2002 AUTHIER & STEVENS LLP N STREET BAREFORD, K 02110 ART UNIT 1762	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	59
		09/464,997	WATKINS, LOUW.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit	
		Katherine A. Bareford	1762	
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period fo		DEDIVIO OFT TO EVDIRE 2 MOI	NTU(S) EDOM	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOLD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended peri	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (itory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHIND THE CAUSE THE APPLICATION OF SECTION ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication NONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 05 August 2002		
1)⊠	·	b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
2a)□	Since this application is in condition f	<i>,</i> —	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s is
3)□	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
-	on of Claims			
•	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the ap			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.		
• —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) <u>1.4.5.8-10 and 12</u> is/are reje	ctea.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	an and/or election requirement		
8)∐ Annlicati	Claim(s) are subject to restricti ion Papers Claumo 2 ⁻ 3, しって	1,11 and 13-17 are cance	led.	
	The specification is objected to by the			
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		e Examiner.	
,,	Applicant may not request that any obje			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed			
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority of			
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			
* (Copies of the certified copies o application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
á	a) The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	guage provisional application has be	en received.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	rO-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- ·
LC Potent and	Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. The request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) filed on August 5, 2002 is acknowledged. 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1) was amended to provide that the prior application of a CPA must be: (1) a utility or plant application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before May 29, 2000, (2) a design application, or (3) the national stage of an international application that was filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before May 29, 2000. See Changes to Application Examination and Provisional Application Practice, interim rule, 65 Fed. Reg. 14865, 14872 (Mar. 20, 2000), 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 47, 52 (Apr. 11, 2000). Since a CPA of this application is not permitted under 37 CFR 1.53(d)(1), the improper request for a CPA is being treated as a request for continued examination of this application under 37 CFR 1.114. See id. at 14866, 1233 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 48.
 - --- Note that a previous CPA was filed in June of 2001.
 - --- The amendment filed August 5, 2002 has been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1762

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5, line 1, this claim (as amended on Jan. 23, 2002) depends from claim 2.

However, claim 2 was canceled by the same amendment. As a result, the claim depends from a canceled claim. For the purposes of examination, the Examiner has treated the claim as depending from claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 8-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 380 163 A2 (hereinafter '163) in view of DE 2 803 708 (hereinafter '708).

'163 teaches a method of applying syntactic foam insulation to a length of pipe. Column 1, line 30 through column 4, line 10. An inner syntactic foam insulator and an outer protective cover are co-extruded around the length of pipe. Column 2, lines 2-20 and column 3, lines 5-45 and figures 3-4. The cover is rapidly solidified. Column 3, lines 35-45. This retains the foam in a desired shape about the length of pipe. Column 3, lines 35-45 and figures 3-4.

Art Unit: 1762

Claim 9: '163 teaches a method of applying syntactic foam insulation to a length of pipe. Column 1, line 30 through column 4, line 10. An inner syntactic foam insulator and an outer protective cover are co-extruded around the length of pipe. Column 2, lines 2-20 and column 3, lines 5-45 and figures 3-4. The cover is rapidly solidified. Column 3, lines 35-45. This retains the foam in a desired shape about the length of pipe. Column 3, lines 35-45 and figures 3-4.

'163 teaches all the features of these claims except (1) the thermoplastic resin and (2) the air cooling (claims 8 and 12).

However, '708 teaches a method of applying a foam insulation to a length of pipe. See the abstract. An inner foam insulating and an outer protective cover are co-extruded around a length of pipe. Abstract and figures 1-3. The layers retain a desired shape about the length of pipe. Abstract and figures 1-3. The cover layer is a thermoplastic. Abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify '163 to use a thermoplastic cover layer as suggested by '708 with an expectation of desirable produced pipe, because '163 teaches forming a three layer pipe with an inner layer, a foam intermediate layer and an outer layer by extrusion, and '708 teaches that when forming a three layer pipe with an inner layer, a foam intermediate insulation layer, and an outer layer using a co-extrusion process, it is conventionally known to use a thermoplastic outer layer. It would further have been obvious to provide air cooling with an expectation of desirable solidification, because, at the least, if no other form of cooling was specifically used, the air contact after extrusion would allow the product to cool.

Art Unit: 1762

6. Claims 1, 8-9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 380 163 A2 (hereinafter '163) in view of Japan 62-28222 (hereinafter '222).

'163 teaches a method of applying syntactic foam insulation to a length of pipe. Column 1, line 30 through column 4, line 10. An inner syntactic foam insulator and an outer protective cover are co-extruded around the length of pipe. Column 2, lines 2-20 and column 3, lines 5-45 and figures 3-4. The cover is rapidly solidified. Column 3, lines 35-45. This retains the foam in a desired shape about the length of pipe. Column 3, lines 35-45 and figures 3-4.

Claim 9: '163 teaches a method of applying syntactic foam insulation to a length of pipe. Column 1, line 30 through column 4, line 10. An inner syntactic foam insulator and an outer protective cover are co-extruded around the length of pipe. Column 2, lines 2-20 and column 3, lines 5-45 and figures 3-4. The cover is rapidly solidified. Column 3, lines 35-45. This retains the foam in a desired shape about the length of pipe. Column 3, lines 35-45 and figures 3-4.

'163 teaches all the features of these claims except (1) the thermoplastic resin and (2) the air cooling (claims 8 and 12).

However, '222 teaches a method of applying a foam insulation to a length of pipe. See the abstract. An inner foam insulating and an outer protective cover are co-extruded around a simultaneously extruded length of pipe. Abstract and figures 1-3. The layers retain a desired shape about the length of pipe. Abstract and figures 1-3. The cover layer is a thermoplastic. Abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify '163 to use a thermoplastic cover layer as suggested by '222 with an expectation

Art Unit: 1762

of desirable produced pipe, because '163 teaches forming a three layer pipe with an inner layer, a foam intermediate layer and an outer layer by extrusion, and '222 teaches that when forming a three layer pipe with an inner layer, a foam intermediate insulation layer, and an outer layer using a co-extrusion process, it is conventionally known to use a thermoplastic outer layer. It would further have been obvious to provide air cooling with an expectation of desirable solidification, because, at the least, if no other form of cooling was specifically used, the air contact after extrusion would allow the product to cool.

7. Claims 4-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 380 163 A2 (hereinafter '163) in view of DE 2 803 708 (hereinafter '708) as applied to claims 1, 8-9 and 12 above, and further in view of Francis (US 4773448).

'163 in view of '708 teach all the features of these claims except the water bath cooling.

However, Francis teaches a method of making a plastic pipe with a hard outer shell and an inner foam layer. Column 2, lines 5-45. Francis teaches cooling the pipe with a water bath to solidify the pipe after extrusion. Column 2, lines 25-35.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify '163 in view of '708 to use water bath cooling as suggested by Francis with an expectation desirable quick cooling, because '163 in view of '708 teaches forming a multilayer pipe by extrusion and cooling, and Francis teaches that when forming a multilayer pipe by extrusion, it is conventionally known to desirably use a water bath to cool the extruded material.

Art Unit: 1762

8. Claims 4-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 380 163 A2 (hereinafter '163) in view of Japan 62-28222 (hereinafter '222) as applied to claims 1, 8-9 and 12 above, and further in view of Francis (US 4773448).

'163 in view of '222 teach all the features of these claims except the water bath cooling.

However, Francis teaches a method of making a plastic pipe with a hard outer shell and an inner foam layer. Column 2, lines 5-45. Francis teaches cooling the pipe with a water bath to solidify the pipe after extrusion. Column 2, lines 25-35.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify '163 in view of '222 to use water bath cooling as suggested by Francis with an expectation desirable quick cooling, because '163 in view of '222 teaches forming a multilayer pipe by extrusion and cooling, and Francis teaches that when forming a multilayer pipe by extrusion, it is conventionally known to desirably use a water bath to cool the extruded material.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-5, 8-10 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Examiner has cited the references to '708 and '222 as both indicating the coextrusion of insulating foam intermediate layers and thermoplastic outer layers to form insulated pipes.

RP

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (703) 308-0078. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(7:00-4:30) with the First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

KATHERINE A. BAREFORD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100 / 700